June 19, 2008 OPINION NO 08-0100

Hon. E. Wade Shows
Parish Attorney
East Baton Rouge Parish
222 St. Louis Street
P.O. Box 1471
Baton Rouge, LA 70821

Dear Mr. Shows:

8-A-3 CEMETERIES
46-1 EXPROPRIATION
46-1 EXPROPRIATION
La. Const. Art. I, Sec. 4; Art. VI, Sec. 21
La. R.S. 8:1; 8:108; 8:112; 8:304; 8:306; 8:307; 8:316;
8:659; 8:671, et seq.; 8:673; 8:903; 8:901; 14:101;
19:1, et seq.; 19:2; 19:3; 33:4621; 41:1601, et seq.
La. C.C. Arts. 47-59

Because no cemetery authority currently exists for the cemetery and because it is clear that the proposed project will not disturb any human remains, the Parish should initiate an expropriation proceeding in the Nineteenth Judicial District Court pursuant to the general rules for expropriation in Title 19. The Parish should also request that the court remove the cemetery dedication for that portion of Knox Cemetery that is implicated by the planned project.

that request as well as review related issues that are relevant to this matter. process for a political subdivision to expropriate property in a cemetery. In order to answer your request, it is prudent to review the factual situation surrounding You have requested an opinion from this Office regarding the right to

I. Introduction

and it is known that no graves will be disturbed by the planned project. archaeological reconnaissance) survey has been conducted in the project area necessitate the use of a small portion of the historic Knox Cemetery in Baton You have noted that one of the Project Green Light road improvements will In addition, you have informed us that a cultural resources õ

take this opportunity to address your specific request, but to also set forth a concise statement of the law as it applies to the use of cemetery property for impact cemeteries in this State have not been so proactive and informed as has East Baton Rouge of late. For that reason, we feel that it is imperative not only to Parish has taken to ensure against impacts to cultural resources in this matter. non-cemetery purposes. However, we have noticed that other parties situated to impact or potentially As an initial matter, we applaud the proactive measures that East Baton Rouge

cemetery property that do not otherwise apply to all entities with expropriation To specifically answer your question, however, we are not aware of any special rules that apply to political subdivisions with respect to the expropriation of authority or to private parties with cemeteries on their property that they want to

specific laws dealing with municipalities and cemeteries, but these laws deal with the establishment of municipal cemeteries rather than expropriating cemetery disturb or move.1 We are aware that Title 8 of the Revised Statutes does contain property for non-cemetery uses and are thus inapplicable to your question. La. R.S. 8:108 and La. R.S. 8:112.

spread over several portions of the Revised Statutes and gaining a clear picture of the applicable law is essential. construction are fairly complex. The legal issues dealing with cemeteries in Louisiana that may be impacted by The legislation that applies to these matters is

General Expropriation Law

public purpose² cannot be agreed upon by the expropriating authority³ and the owner, certain properties may be expropriated for public purposes, including: The general provisions of expropriation law in Louisiana are found at La. Under this law, when a price for purchasing property needed for a

1) "the construction of railroads, toll roads, or navigation canals;"

1 One potential exception to this caveat is La. R.S. 33:4621. That statute states that

Municipalities and parishes may expropriate and otherwise acquire any private property, within or without their limits, for any of the purposes for which they are organized, and for any works that they are authorized to own or operate, or which they are authorized to lease or donate to the United States. This Part shall not be construed to confer authority upon a parish or municipality to expropriate property in any other parish without the consent of the police jury of the parish in which the property is situated.

expropriation law) for municipalities to expropriate cemetery property. See, City of New Orleans v. Christ Church Corp., 81 So.2d 855, 858 (La. 1955). However, it is our opinion that this potentially additional grant of expropriation authority does not impact the approach to cemetery property expropriation that must be followed under Louisiana law, as this law and its interpretations by the Louisiana Supreme Court predate the cemetery protection provisions of At least one court has found La. R.S. 33:4621 as additional authority (beyond the Title 19 Title 8 of the Revised Statutes.

It is interesting to note that the term "public purpose" for the purposes of expropriation has been the subject of much debate in recent years. Following the United States Supreme Court decision in *Kelo v. City of New London, Conn.*, 545 U.S. 469 (2005), many state legislatures – Louisiana's among them – quickly moved to enact state-level protections against the taking of private property for private purposes. Louisiana's version was enacted as Act 851 of the 2006 Regular Session, which amended La. Const Art I, Sec. 4 and Art. VI, Sec. 21. Although it is clear that the Green Light Project clearly fulfills the classification of a "public purpose" to which *Kelo* and Act Const Art I, Sec. 4 and Art. VI, Sec. 21. 851 of 2005 do not apply, a review of these sources, as well as La. Atty. Gen. Op. No. 07-0147,

may be warranted in future cemetery expropriation situations.

The term "expropriating authority" generally applies to governmental entities and the private entities with the authority to carry-out the activities listed in La. R.S. 19:2.

La. R.S. 19:2(2).

- "the construction and operation of street railways, urban railways, or interurban railways;"5
- ω "the construction and operation of waterworks, filtration and treating plants, or sewerage plants to supply the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public with water and sewerage; "of the public with water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the public water and sewerage; "of the public water and sewerage;" of the publ
- 4 constructions for the transportation of natural gas;7
- 5 the erection of telephone or telegraph lines;8
- 9 the erection of electricity lines and the construction of the infrastructure necessary to support such lines;⁹
- the construction of other pipelines; 10 and
- various other provided-for activities. 11

that, anything that will impact cemetery property. Excepted from this listing of appropriate uses Specifically, La. R.S. 19:3 states of the power of expropriation is

proposed by the plaintiff without great public loss or inconvenience. finds that the route of expropriation cannot be diverted from that [n]o graveyard or cemetery shall be expropriated unless the court

would agree with this interpretation.
La. R.S. 19:2(6). states' jurisprudence does include seismic activity within the term "prospecting." See e.g., Meyer v. Berg, 2007 WL 1430226 (E.D.Wis. 2007); Trutec Oil And Gas, Inc. v. Western Atlas Intern., Inc., 194 S.W.3d 580 (Tex.App. 14 Dist. 2006). Thus, it seems safe to say that the "prospecting" referred to in La. R.S. 8:901 does indeed include seismic operations. Accordingly, under Louisiana law, it is our opinion that such activities are prohibited in a cemetery. It should be noted, however, that as a policy matter, the Louisiana Cemetery Board has taken the position that directional drilling under cemeteries is permissible and is not a violation of La. R.S. 8:901. should be noted that there is no jurisprudence stating that seismic surveys are not "prospecting." Thus, it has apparently not been an issue before the Louisiana courts. However, several other The outstanding question is whether it also prohibits seismic activity within such cemeteries. The law clearly prohibits "prospecting" within cemeteries. We have been unable to identify any Louisiana jurisprudence that defines prospecting in terms of conducting seismic surveys. minerals is expressly prohibited within the confines of a cemetery in Louisiana. La. R.S. 8:901. expropriation for the construction of hydrocarbon pipelines, the exploration for and production of It should also be noted that, although general expropriation rules permit

⁹La. R.S. 19:2(7)

¹⁰ La. R.S. 19:2(8)-(10). ¹¹ La. R.S. 19:2(11).

cemetery" or what constitutes "loss or inconvenience." For an appreciation of what constitutes a "graveyard or cemetery," we must look to Title 8. Title 8 defines a cemetery as: It is unclear from the law in Title 19 what actually constitutes a "graveyard or

or more of these. scattering garden, for cinerary interments; or a combination of one mausoleum, for vault or crypt interments; or a columbarium, or a place used or intended to be used for the interment of the human It includes മ burial park, for earth interments; or

the opinion that the terms "graveyard or cemetery," as used in La. R.S. 19:3 also encompasses the term "unmarked burial site," which is defined by La. R.S. 8:673 of this Office that the above definition of cemetery also encompasses the term "graveyard" as it is contemplated by La. R.S. 19:3. 12 However, we are further of La. R.S. 8:1(7). Although it is not specifically defined by Title 8, it is the opinion

authorized by the Louisiana Cemetery Board. municipal, fraternal, religious, or family cemetery, or a cemetery found in the ground that is not in a recognized and maintained the immediate area where one or more human skeletal remains are

that the Knox Cemetery property will similarly be found to be "vitally needed" by a court of competent jurisdiction. However, this is a factual matter that needs to be expropriation of cemetery property has been met when cemetery property "is vitally needed for street purposes". Because the Green Light Project is intended to alleviate the terrible traffic congestion in the Baton Rouge area, we suspect As for what constitutes a "loss or inconvenience," there is some jurisprudential guidance in this regard. In *City of New Orleans v. Christ Church Corp.*, 81 So.2d 855, 858 (La. 1955), the Louisiana Supreme Court found that the La. R.S. 19:3 requirement that a "great loss or inconvenience" that must exist before the decided by a court either in a declaratory judgment action or in the context of an expropriation action.

Applicable Cemetery-Specific Law

cemetery property for non-cemetery purposes. Revised Statutes. As a general matter, most cemeteries are controlled by the law in Title 8 of the The law in this Title places further limitations on the use of

¹² This is consistent with the common usage of the term "graveyard" as defined by the Oxford English Dictionary. That source simply defines the term as "a burial ground." Oxford English Dictionary (2nd ed. 1989).

Disturbed Expropriation of Cemetery Property When Burials Will Not be

expropriation. Generally, before anyone can go the route of expropriation, a good faith effort must be made to identify and contact the cemetery authority 13 embodied in La. R.S. 8:316. That law provides that: and the owners of interment rights in the cemetery. to be acquired from its owners. If cemetery property must be used for a particular project, the property will have This can be done either through purchase or These requirements are

spaces shall be required. consent of not less than two-thirds of the owners of interment cemetery authority is not in existence or not operating, then the consent of the cemetery authority owning and operating it. If said be laid out, through, over or across any part of it without the alley, pipe line, pole line or other public thoroughfare or utility shall remains dedicated to cemetery purposes, no railroad, street, road After dedication pursuant to this title, and as long as the property

With respect to Knox Cemetery, it is apparent that, due to its age, that there is no cemetery authority managing the property. Accordingly, the next step would be to seek authority from those owning interment rights in the cemetery for permission to use the property for non-cemetery purposes. Because Knox Cemetery is a historic cemetery, it is unlikely that anyone who owned an interment right in the cemetery is still alive. Title 8 does provide for the effort to locate the descendants of those known to be interred in a cemetery. See, La. R.S. 8:803. Failing such identification, because the cemetery's use would not be helpful in areas of a cemetery with no visible grave markers). originally owned the rights, aside from tombstone inscriptions (which certainly such interment rights that may be identifiable from the successions of those who authorities are to function, it is doubtful that any written records exist to evidence interment right in the cemetery is still alive. Title 8 does provide for the heritability of such rights, and due diligence may involve making a good faith predated the existence of the requirements in Title 8 that govern how cemetery

it is the opinion of this office that the standard procedure for expropriation may be absence of both a cemetery authority and identifiable owners of interment rights, This scenario presents a conundrum that is not contemplated by Title 8.

¹³ The term "cemetery authority" is specifically defined by Title 8 thus:

owning, operating, controlling or managing a cemetery or holding lands within this state for interment purposes. any person, firm, corporation, trustee, partnership, association or municipality

used when it can be shown that no burials will be disturbed in the construction process. 14 planned

B. Dedication of Property for Cemetery Use

has consistently been that it is merely the use of property as a cemetery that effectuates the dedication. ¹⁵ Due to the generally inviolate status that cemeteries still subject to the restrictions embodied in La. R.S. 8:304 until the dedication has effectuates the dedication. Due to the generally inviolate status that cemeteries hold within our culture, it is the opinion of this Office that the LCB's position is dedication. We are aware that the Louisiana Cemetery Board's ("LCB") position been removed pursuant to La. R.S. 8:306-307. R.S. 8:316, and (if necessary) the remains have been removed, the property is even after the owners or cemetery authority has been contacted pursuant to La. likely what was intended by the Legislature with La. R.S. 8:304. Accordingly, a cemetery or if something must be filed in the court records to effectuate the to whether cemetery property becomes "dedicated" simply by virtue of its use as The "dedication" referred to in La. R.S. 8:316, *supra*, is the dedication of property for cemetery purposes under La. R.S. 8:304. There is no distinction in the law as

use the cemetery property for non-cemetery uses may apply for a declaratory only a court can remove the dedication. Once any remains have been removed from the portion of the cemetery that will be affected by a project. In addition, seeking an order that the cemetery dedication should be removed. judgment to the district court for the parish in which the cemetery is located from the impacted area, it is the opinion of this Office that the party seeking to La. R.S. 8:306 requires that notice of such an action be served upon the LCB The dedication cannot be removed until all remains have been properly removed In addition,

property, when a plot of ground is set apart for cemetery purposes, and burials are made in the land, the ground changes its character in the minds and feelings of the community. Regardless of the laws and rules relating to the ownership and control of real

¹⁴ In the event that unmarked burials will be disturbed, La. R.S. 8:671, et seq., trumps the

conflicting portions of this opinion.

15 This policy position of the LCB is consistent with the Louisiana Supreme Court's appreciation of the term "dedication" with regard to cemetery property in *Humphreys, et al. v. Bennett Oil Corp. et al.*, 197 So. 222 (La. 1940), commenting that,

used as a burial ground and had been so used for many years prior thereto. It was part of his property, and his marking it off as he did shows clearly that he intended to segregate it from his other property. of others interested, laid off and established its four corners. It was then being unequivocally manifested by Dr. Tomlinson in 1892 when he, with the assistance the intention to dedicate this plot of ground to use as a cemetery was first

Expropriation of Cemetery Property When Burials

Disturbed

for expropriation may apply. When burials will be disturbed, as is not the case here, two different sets of rules

Disturbance of Marked Burials

specific schedule of individuals who must give permission for the moving of human remains. La. R.S. 8:659. Louisiana Revised Statute 8:659 provides that: remains from an interment cannot be a unilateral activity. Title 8 provides a these burials must be moved prior to any construction activity. Moving human In situations in which marked burials 16 are to be disturbed by a planned project,

- and the written consent of one of the following, in the order named or to another cemetery with the consent of the cemetery authority A. The remains of a deceased person may be moved from a cemetery space to another cemetery space in the same cemetery unless other directions in writing have been given by the decedent:
- (1) The surviving spouse, if no petition for divorce has been filed by either spouse prior to the death of the decedent spouse.
- grandchildren or other more remote descendants. (2) The surviving adult children of the decedent, not including
- (3) The surviving parents of the decedent.
- (4) The surviving adult brothers and sisters of the decedent
- the district court of the parish where the cemetery is situated shall be required. B. If the required consent cannot be obtained, a final judgment of

It is the opinion of this Office that, following a good faith effort to locate and obtain permission from the individuals listed in La. R.S. 8:659(A)(1)-(4), that a court may be petitioned pursuant to La. R.S. 8:659(B) for the removal of remains. Because most cemeteries that will be impacted by planned construction will likely be historic, the requisite individuals in La. R.S. 8:659(A)(1)-(4) may no longer be living. In addition, there will likely be no cemetery authority associated with such

¹⁶ The term "marked burials" as used herein, refers generally to human burials that are commemorated with some type of traditional grave marker, such as a headstone. This is contrasted with the burials that either have no identifiable commemoration or have some commemoration that cannot readily be recognized as a burial marker. In addition, this term is used herein to contrast with the human remains covered by the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Preservation Act, La. R.S. 8:671, et seq.

are of the opinion that this apparent oversight was not intended to stifle future generations from, as a matter of last resort, 17 using former cemetery property for other purposes. Accordingly, it is our opinion that, in the absence of the this Office that the party wishing to move the remains should follow the procedure of La. R.S. 8:659(B). Although La. R.S. 8:659 does not contemplate a existence of a cemetery authority, the party wishing to move remains pursuant to party other than the cemetery authority seeking such a judgment from a court, we cemeteries. La. R.S. 8:659(B) may petition a court for such removal. Once those facts have been established, it is further the opinion of

We find it interesting to note that, in one of the few reported cases that deals with the issues discussed in this opinion, 18 the Louisiana Supreme Court required the which stated: process. This appointment was based on former Louisiana Civil Code Article 56 individuals interred in Girod Cemetery in New Orleans during the expropriation appointment of a curator-ad-hoc to represent the interests of the unknown

in the State, or for the administration of whose property no curator has been appointed, the judge, before whom the suit is pending, shall appoint a curator ad hoc to defend the absentee in the suit. If a suit be instituted against an absentee who has no known agent

does not mean that a court could not, of its own motion, appoint such a curator, diligent effort. However, the simple lack of necessity for such an appointment should it find that the circumstances warrant such an appointment – only that it is no longer required by law.²⁰ interests of those whose descendants cannot be found or identified following a there is no legal necessity for the appointment of a curator to represent the Following the passage of Act 989 of 1990, this article no longer exists. 19 Accordingly, it is our opinion that, in cases filed pursuant to La. R.S. 8:659(B),

¹⁷ The statement that this should be a matter of last resort is in reference to the requirement of La. R.S. 19:3 that the taking of cemetery property for other purposes under expropriation must be done pursuant to a vital need.

 ¹⁸ City of New Orleans v. Christ Church Corp., supra.
 19 This is probably appropriate, as the law behind this appointment related to absent persons, which the dead are certainly not under the provisions of La. C.C. Arts. 47-53, particularly because La. C.C. Arts. 54-59 deal specifically with the distinction between dead people and absent people.
 20 This explication is consistent with the change in the law noted in La. C.C. Art. 47, cmt. a. It should be noted, however, that other states have appointed similar curators for "unknown and Accoridingly, the concept is not an antiquated notion, it is just no longer mandatory in Louisiana. unrepresented persons who may be interred in" a cemetery. See, Judgment Entry, In Re. The Matter of the Removal of Human Remains from Cemeteries in Kansas City, Platte County, Docket No. 07AE-CV00593, Div. I (Cir. Ct. Platte County, Missouri 4/17/08)

Disturbance of Unmarked Burials

during the archaeological testing of the planned project area. Accordingly, it is our opinion that La. R.S. 8:671, et seq. does not apply to your opinion request. though, as intimated above, that the Green Light Project has been proactive in its efforts to comply with the law and that no unmarked human remains were found must be done only pursuant to a permit issued by the Louisiana Division of Archaeology ("the Division") and that civil and criminal penalties exist for a failure to comply with the requirements of La. R.S. 8:671, et seq. It is important to note, by definition, there is typically no indication on the ground surface that such burials are present below. The disturbance of such burials is specifically undertaken. Suffice it to say that any disturbance of unmarked human burials that it does not apply to your specific situation, a detailed review will not be here regulated by the Louisiana Unmarked Human Burial Sites Preservation Act, La. R.S. 8:671, et seq. Because of the complicated nature of this law and the fact The disturbance of unmarked human burials generally occurs inadvertently, as

IV. Contacting the Appropriate State Entities

a planned construction project. These entities are the LCB and the Division. the two State entities that should be contacted when cemeteries are implicated in In furtherance of an answer to your opinion request, we feel it appropriate to note

Board of any planned activity that may impact a cemetery. You can also check the LCB's Web site to see if the cemetery in question is a licensed cemetery. finding the owners and interested parties will be much easier. general oversight authority, it is prudent to check with the LCB for whatever Title 8. Such violations may include disturbing remains or otherwise conducting construction activities without first complying with Title 8.21 Because of this through the Attorney General or on its own, to institute lawsuits for violations of some information on exempt cemeteries. Although the LCB has no authority to That URL is http://www.lcb.state.la.us/. If the cemetery is listed with the LCB information it may have regarding the cemetery in question and to advise that permit non-cemetery activities within a cemetery, it does have the authority, The LCB maintains a database of cemeteries that it licenses and may also have

cemetery that is currently under the jurisdiction of the LCB, it is worthwhile to out information about them. Although we are aware that Knox Čemetery is not a generally exempted from the jurisdiction of the LCB, thus making it harder to find Unfortunately Knox Cemetery is likely a family cemetery. Such cemeteries are

²¹ It is also important to note that there are other provisions of the law that may apply to wanton activity in a cemetery. Title 14 provides for criminal sanctions for grave desecration. La. R.S. 14:101. Although we are certainly not suggesting that such is the case in the Knox Cemetery situation (which it clearly is not), we feel that the law should be noted for good measure.

should complaints or questions come in from the public. notify the LCB of your planned activity so that it is on that Board's radar screen

site, you are in compliance with that entity's rules and regulations. have found that (1) Knox Cemetery is not a designated archaeological site; and site.²² If the cemetery were a designated archaeological site, historic preservation laws may be implicated. We have checked with the Division and with that entity to see if the cemetery is also designated as an archaeological site. ²² If the cemetery were a designated archaeological site historic Along the same lines, you should also notify the Division of your plans and check (2) that because you have already conducted archaeological investigations at the

V. Summary and Conclusions

the following recommendations: regarding the procedure for expropriating the Knox Cemetery property, we offer to recapitulate the salient points of this opinion. Specifically to your question In summation, due to the complex nature of the applicable law, we find it prudent

- impacted by the planned activity (which you have already done); Conduct a surface survey to identify grave markers that might be
- Ю graves that might be impacted by the planned activity (which you have also already done); Conduct a subsurface archaeological survey to identify unmarked
- ယ of Archaeology to notify them of your plans and to obtain any information that they may have regarding the subject cemetery (also Contact both the Louisiana Cemetery Board and the Louisiana Division already done);
- 4. expropriation in Title 19; and Nineteenth Judicial District Court pursuant to the general rules for remains, the Parish should initiate an expropriation proceeding in the because it is clear that the proposed project will not disturb any human Because no cemetery authority currently exists for the cemetery and
- ĊΠ portion of Knox Cemetery that is implicated by the planned project. also request that the court remove the cemetery dedication for that In conjunction with the expropriation proceeding, the Parish should

²² In the further interest of completeness, it should be noted that, should such a cemetery be found to be an archaeological site that is located on State property, there are permitting requirements for any activity that will disturb such areas under Title 41. See, La. R.S. 41:1601, et

OPINION NO. 08-0100 Hon. E. Wade Shows Page 11 of 12

the planned project, you must immediately stop operations and follow the requirements of the Louisiana Unmarked Burial Sites Preservation research at Knox Cemetery, human remains are encountered during In the unlikely event that, despite the findings of your archaeological Act, La. R.S. 8:671, et seq.

to this situation. Because of the reality that your archaeological survey has demonstrated that no burials will be impacted, this matter (aside from the removal of the dedication) largely becomes a standard expropriation proceeding. say that any of the more onerous requirements of using cemetery property apply Under the factual information that you have presented to this Office, we cannot

We hope this sufficiently answers your inquiry; however, if we may be of further assistance please do not hesitate to contact our office.

Sincerely yours,

JAMES D. "BUDDY" CALDWELL ATTORNEY GENERAL

By:
RYAN M. SEIDEMANN
Assistant Attorney General

JDC/RMS/tp

္ပ Ms. Lucy L. McCann, Director, Louisiana Cemetery Board Dr. Charles R. McGimsey, Louisiana State Archaeologist

OPINION NO. 08-0100

Hon. E. Wade Shows Page 12 of 12

OPINION NO. 08-0100

46<u>-</u>1 CEMETERIES **EXPROPRIATION**

La. Const. Art. I, Sec. 4; Art. VI, Sec. 21
La. R.S. 8:1; 8:108; 8:112; 8:304; 8:306; 8:307; 8:316; 8:659; 8:671, et seq.; 8:673; 8:803; 8:901; 14:101; 19:1, et seq.; 19:2; 19:3; 33:4621; 41:1601, et seq.

Act 989 of 1990

Act 851 of 2006

La. C.C. Arts. 47-59 La. C.C. Art. 56 (former)

Because no cemetery authority currently exists for the cemetery and because it is clear that the proposed project will not disturb any human remains, the Parish should initiate an expropriation proceeding in the Nineteenth Judicial District Court pursuant to the general rules for expropriation in Title 19. The Parish should also request that the court remove the cemetery dedication for that portion of Knox Cemetery that is implicated by the planned project.

DATE REQUESTED: May 1, 2008

DATE RELEASED: June 19, 2008

REQUESTED BY: Honorable E. Wade Shows

Parish Attorney

East Baton Rouge Parish

222 St. Louis Street

P.O. Box 1471

Baton Rouge, LA 70821

Lands & Natural Resources Section Assistant Attorney General RYAN M. SEIDEMANN

AUTHOR: